## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHASOM BROWN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-03664-YGR (SVK)

## ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Re: Dkt. No. 612

Before the Court is an administrative motion to file under seal materials associated with discovery disputes in this case. Dkt. 612.

Courts recognize a "general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Kamakana v. City & Cnty. Of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Communs., Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n.7 (1978)). A request to seal court records therefore starts with a "strong presumption in favor of access." Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1178 (quoting Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)). The standard for overcoming the presumption of public access to court records depends on the purpose for which the records are filed with the court. A party seeking to seal court records relating to motions that are "more than tangentially related to the underlying cause of action" must demonstrate "compelling reasons" that support secrecy. Ctr. For Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., 809 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir. 2016). For records attached to motions that re "not related, or only tangentially related, to the merits of the case," the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c) applies. *Id.*; see also Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179. A party moving to seal court records must also comply with the procedures established by Civil Local Rule 79-5.

Here, the "good cause" standard applies because the information the parties seek to seal was submitted to the Court in connection with a discovery-related motion, rather than a motion that concerns the merits of the case. The Court may reach different conclusions regarding sealing these documents under different standards or in a different context. Having considered the motion to seal, supporting declaration, and the pleadings on file, and good cause appearing, the Court **ORDERS** as follows:

## 1. Dkt. 612

5		
<b>Document Sought</b>	Court's Ruling on	Reason(s) for Court's Ruling
to be Sealed	Motion to Seal	
Google LLC's	GRANTED as to	The information requested to be sealed contains
Submission In	the portions at:	Google's confidential and proprietary information
Response To Dkt.		regarding sensitive features of Google's internal
604	Pages: 1:15-16,	systems and operations, including details related to
	1:19-2:3, 2:5,	Google's databases, logs, and encryption practices,
	2:10-13, 2:21-23,	and their proprietary functionalities, that Google
	2:25-26, 2:28	maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of
		its business and is not generally known to the public
		or Google's competitors. Such confidential and
		proprietary information reveals Google's internal
		strategies, system designs, and business practices
		for operating and maintaining many of its services.
		Public disclosure of such confidential and
		proprietary information could affect Google's
		competitive standing as competitors may alter their
		systems and practices relating to competing
		products. It may also place Google at an increased
		risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may
		seek to use the information to compromise Google's
		internal practices relating to competing products.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 15, 2022

SUSAN VAN KEULEN United States Magistrate Judge